

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,414	01/22/2004	Timothy C. Poole	33101-2370	4908
7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Gregor N. Neff, Esq.			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
c/o Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP 919 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10022			3724	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/762,414	POOLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	· i					
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 January 2005</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-10</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.	. ala atia a mandana ant				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	<del>-</del> :	priority under 35 LLS C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)	· 				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🔀 Infor	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		ater Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/762,414 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

**Detailed Action** 

The amendment filed on 1-10-2005 has been entered.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

(1) In claims 1 and 9, the newly added limitation is vague and indefinite as it is

not clear what width of the food block is, and what length of the cutting edge is

substantially equal to the width of the food block.

(2) In claim 8, "relatively wide" is indefinite since it is unclear how wide is

"relatively" wide.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gosselin (U.S. Patent No. 6,220,133).

Gosselin's cutter shows all the claimed structure. Further, Gosselin's blade tip (14a) is considered as a cutting edge since it can cut into a block of cutter, and the blade tip (14a) meets the limitation of "having a length at least substantially equal to the width of the food block" if one such food block is selected to be cut by the claimed cutter. Also, Gosselin's cutter can be used as a spatula if one intended to.

### Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wentsel (U.S. Patent No. 2,948,064) in view of Youngberg (U.S. Patent No. 2,309,814).

Wentsel's cutter shows all the claimed invention except the cutting edge (5) does not extend transversely to afford a thrust cutting action.

Youngberg shows a food block cutter having a cutting blade (9) so arranged to facilitate a thrust cutting action.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,414

Art Unit: 3724

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Wentsel by arranging the cutting edge (5) in a transverse orientation to facilitate a power thrust cutting action as taught by Youngberg.

Page 4

## **Indication of Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Point of Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer January 25, 2005

i-lwei-Slu Payer Primary Examiner